



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,495	02/28/2002	Kiyohito Ishida	SUG-014-USA-P	7467	
7	7590 05/08/2003			8	
TOWNSEND & BANTA			EXAMINER		
601 PENNYSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 900, SOUTH BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20004		<i>/</i> .	YEE, DEBORAH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742	1742	
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		5m8
	Application N .	Applicant(s)
	10/084,495	ISHIDA ET AL.
Offic Acti n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Deborah Yee	1742
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I Disposition of Claims	ince except for formal matters, pi Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 to 11 and 14 to27</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 13</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•
Application Papers	•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	ceived.
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Detent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/084,495

**Art Unit: 1742** 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 to 11 and 14 to 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watari et al. (US Patent No.5,922,145).

Watari discloses specific steel alloy examples in Tables 1 to 4,9,11 and 13 which meet or closely meet the claimed composition and when calculated, satisfy the claimed provisos. Moreover, the abstract discloses the presence of titanium carbosulfide compounds. Although prior art does not teach the compound phase of 0.1 to 10% as recited by the claims, such amount would be expected since compositional limitations are met, and in absence of proof to the contrary. Also Watari teaches using steel for machinery for industrial use which would broadly include dies.

Even though prior art does not teach the claimed provisos, such would not be a patentable distinction. Note that it has been held that there is no invention involved in the discovery of a general formula if it covers a composition described in the prior art, see In re Cooper et al, 57USPQ117.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,495

Art Unit: 1742

Claims 16 to 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leban (US Patent 5,013,524), Japanese patent 9-296221, Japanese patent 8-260107, Honkura et al (US Patent 4,705,581) or Saito et al (US Patent 5,190,722)

Each reference teaches steel alloy examples which meet or closely meet one or more of the recited compositions. See Leban, alloys A, B and C in Table of columns 3 and 4; JP'221, C, E, F on page 6; JP'107, alloys 4,9,10,14,19 and 20 in Table on page 7; Saito, alloys 1-11, Table 1 of columns 5 and 6; and Honkura, alloys A-U in Table 1 of columns 5 and 6.

Note that the English abstract of JP'221 discloses Ti4C2S2 precipitate, and the English abstract of JP'107 discloses a Ti-C-S group inclusions. Although the other prior art does not teach a Ti/Zr-C-S/Se/Te compound as recited by the claims, such would be expected since the compositional limitations are closely met and in absence of proof to the contrary.

Even though prior art does not teach the claimed provisos, such would not be a patentable distinction. Note that it has been held that there is no invention involved in the discovery of a general formula if it covers a composition described in the prior art, see In re Cooper et al, 57USPQ117.

In regard to the product claims, Leban teaches using steel for molds/dies.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,495

Art Unit: 1742

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The art of record does not teach or fairly suggest a steel alloy containing 0.5 to 2.5% C and the claimed ratio (Ti+0.52Zr)/(S+Se+Te) at 1 to 4.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 703-308-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-873-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

dy May 6, 2003

Page 4